

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,183	02/14/2002	Yoshiaki Wani	09792909-5341	7271
26263	7590 09/20/2004		EXAMINER	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080			CANTELMO, GREGG	
WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60606-1080		1745	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Amulianutics	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/075,183	WANI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Gregg Cantelmo	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2004 FAILS TO I Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of this er: (1) a timely filed amendme opeal (with appeal fee): or (3)	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application.	a in
PERIOD FOR	R REPLY [check either a) or	0)]	
 a)	this Advisory Action, or (2) the date	he mailing date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the pe ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration da (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	riod of extension and the correspon ite of the shortened statutory period a Office later than three months afte	ding amount of the fee. The appropriate for reply originally set in the final Office	te extension
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appells 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 	ant's Brief must be filed within CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid disn	n the period set forth in nissal of the appeal.	
2. $igtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere	ed because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);	,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	ion in better form for appeal t	y materially reducing or simplify	ying the
(d) they present additional claims without car	nceling a corresponding num	per of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) we canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ould be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed ame	ndment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ reques application in condition for allowance because	t for reconsideration has bee :	n considered but does NOT pla	ce the
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SC	LELY to issues which were new	vly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendade explanation of how the new or amended claim	nent(s) a)⊠ will not be enterd s would be rejected is provid	ed or b) will be entered and a ed below or appended.	ın
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
B. The drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b) disapprov	ed by the Examiner	
P.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure State			
	лопцэд г т ∪- т449) Paper r	IU(a)	
0. Other:	They Can	Gregg Cantelmo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1745	

Try Cants 9/15/04

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The underscored limitations presented in the proposed amendment further define the instant claims and would require further consideration and/or search. While the amendment has not been entered, the proposed change to claim 13 appears to be sufficient to overcome the 112 rejection set forth in the previous office action. Upon proper entry of an amendment reflecting the change to claim 13 provided in the un-entered after final amendment, the 112 rejection will be withdrawn. However since the after final amendment has not been entered, the 112 rejection stands as applied to the current pending claims filed April 12, 2004.